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Abstract
Introduction: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) such as ibuprofen are widely used throughout the world. In the psychiatric hospital, where this study was conducted, drugs such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRI) and lithium are widely used and may interact with ibuprofen (IBU). The literature also shows that ibuprofen may lead to changes in the central nervous system, which may trigger the imbalance of psychiatric disorders. Objective: to evaluate whether both the frequency of use and the prescriptions of ibuprofen are in agreement with the information contained in the literature regarding safety, indication, and dose. Methodology: retrospective observational cross-sectional study to evaluate the use of ibuprofen on patients from a psychiatric hospital. The prescriptions were evaluated for a 10-month period. Results: of the total number of prescriptions, 43 contained ibuprofen 600 mg. Note that in most cases, the drug was being prescribed according to the literature. However, in some cases there were divergences in the literature regarding: i) safety – information about the absence or presence of ulcers (1; 2.3%), gastrointestinal events (0; 0%) and absence of dyspepsia, abdominal pain and discomfort gastrointestinal (11; 25.5%) – ii) and the dose, in which in 19 cases (44%) it was higher than recommended by the literature. Conclusion: the use of this drug often escaped safety and dosage criteria concerning scientific literature.


INTRODUCTION
NSAIDs are among the most widely used drugs in the world. They have analgesic, antipyretic, anti-inflammatory and antiplatelet properties that make them very attractive to both patients and prescribers1. NSAIDs sales in Brazil reached million dollars values. For instance, in the state of Rio Grande do Sul, the rate of self-medication prevalence was found to be 53%, with NSAIDs being the most widely consumed2. In Brazil, many NSAIDs are available in local pharmacies, including ibuprofen (IBU), which is one of the med-
Evaluation of ibuprofen prescriptions into a Psychiatric Hospital: regarding safety, indication, and dose

icines sold without necessity of prescription. IBU inhibits both cyclooxygenase (COX) – 1 and COX-2 and conditions such as inflammation and pain. Adverse reactions to the gastrointestinal, renal, and hepatic systems are the most commonly reported side effects. However, although rare, adverse psychiatric reactions may occur when the central nervous system (CNS) is affected.

IBU interacts with various medications, including lithium and serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) which are widely used in psychiatric institutions. Literature data also indicates that it can lead to changes in the CNS, which may trigger instances of psychiatric disorders.

The NSAIDs inhibits prostaglandins synthesis by cyclooxygenases blockage (COX1 and COX2). The decrease on prostaglandin levels fall leads to the reduction of renal arteries’ flow by vasoconstriction, resulting in decreased glomerular filtrate and consequently decreasing renal lithium clearance. In parallel, theories postulate that low levels of prostaglandins can promote a direct rise in sodium and lithium, because lithium’s renal reabsorption is similar to sodium’s and because of the presence of several nephron proteins that promote both cations co-transportation.

It has been shown that several SSRIs may impair both the platelet secretory response and the platelet aggregation induced by thrombin, collagen, and adenosine diphosphate and cause inhibition of platelet plug formation therefore the association with IBU might worsen the platelet function.

Drug-use studies are useful and can have different goals. These studies generally aim to obtain information about the prescription, dosage, standard of use, and clinical effects of particular drugs, thus reducing the risks associated with drug therapy and dispensing. They, therefore, contribute to the creation of new policies on the rational use of medicines.

The aim of our study is to evaluate if the prescriptions of ibuprofen are consistent with the information contained in the literature regarding safety, prescription, and dosage at the psychiatric hospital.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee from the Nursing School of the Federal University of Bahia (UFBA), under the number (N° 1.399.812/CABE 51229615.9.0000.5531).

METHODOLOGY

A cross-sectional observational study of drug usage was done. Data was evaluated throughout 10 months, consistent with the period found in the literature for this type of study. The project was carried out at a psychiatric hospital, located in the state of Bahia. It is an institution of psychiatric care linked to the Brazilian Unified Health System. On average, in this institution, there is a monthly occupancy of 38 inpatients.

Explicit criteria for the use of ibuprofen has been previously identified through literature review, using primary evidence, as well as guidelines and the consensus of experts and organizations such as the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the Healthy Canadians, the European Medicines Agency (EMA), the national institute for health and care excellence (NICE) and the Guideline from Dubois et al. Inclusion criteria was Individuals that received a ibuprofen prescription, and Criteria for non-inclusion was individuals without ibuprofen prescription.

The medication dispensing was verified through hospitalized patient prescriptions, so the frequency was computed. An instrument was developed, tested and used to assess safety, indication, and dosage. The information was collected from the daily medical evaluations, medical diagnosis, nursing evaluations, and prescriptions. Dosage information was obtained through prescription.

We designed and performed a pilot test for two months to verify the internal consistency of the instrument.

The two months pilot study shows at least 38 inpatients a month, so the maximum number of inpatients at the final of 10 months would be 380 (380; 361 to 399). To estimate the sample size needed to find the number of cases with ibuprofen prescription, at a 95% confidence level, we estimate the loss of 5%, with an assumed rate of 15%. The size was inflated 5% to compensate for the subject’s loss. The returned find number was 43 cases. The sample size was calculated at the WinPepi version 11.65, J. H. Abramson.

Data was stored in Microsoft Office Excel. The data was analyzed for ibuprofen information such as indication, the safety of appropriate or inadequate dose, and the frequency of use.

To assess safety, indication, and dose an instrument was developed, tested and used (Figure 1).
RESULTS

Altogether, there were 43 prescriptions, 25 individuals were male and 18 were female (Table 1). Regarding age, this varied between 21 a 58 years.

As for the prescribers, most were represented by physicians. However, as in HEAP there is dental care, the medication was often prescribed for tooth pain and tooth extraction, and the most prevalent indication was the prescription of IBU as a pain reliever and in no case has the use of the drug been reported to relieve pain from osteoarthritis and rheumatoid arthritis (Table 2, 3).

Table 1 – Ibuprofen prescription distribution in male and female

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>18 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>25 (58)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data
Table 2 – Clinical indication of ibuprofen 600mg prescriptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical indication of ibuprofen 600mg prescriptions</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rheumatic processes</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma of the musculoskeletal system</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of inflammatory components</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of painful components</td>
<td>24 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence of inflammatory and painful components</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental procedure</td>
<td>5 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>6 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data.

Table 3 – Percent of professionals prescribing ibuprofen 600mg.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Professionals prescribing ibuprofen 600mg</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physicians</td>
<td>28 (65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dentistry</td>
<td>15 (35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data.

In no case was the IBU being used as chronic therapy (> 1 month) and in 19 cases the dose was greater than 1200mg per day, but in most cases, this dose was less than or equal to 1200mg per day (Table 4).

Table 4 – Dose prescribed for ibuprofen greater than 1200mg per day.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose prescribed for ibuprofen greater than 1200mg per day</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not reported</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>43 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Research data.

As for the risk factors for the development of gastrointestinal complications with the use of NSAIDs, no patient used the drug for more than a month during hospitalization, none was older than 65, none was using warfarin, corticosteroids or acetylsalicylic acid.

In only one case, there was information on the absence of ulcers in the chart. In the others, nothing was reported about the presence or absence of gastrointestinal events. As for dyspepsia, abdominal pain and gastrointestinal discomfort, there were 11 reports in the medical record by health professionals. In 31 cases it was not reported.

As for the occurrence of a gastrointestinal event, in only one case had the absence been described, and on an admission form from the city hall, in the other cases it was not reported.

Regarding the assessment of risk factors for cardiovascular disease, in 11 cases the risk factor for smoking was present, in 4 hypertension, in 1 hypercholesterolemia and among these cases, the prescribed dose was greater than 1200mg per day.

In two prescriptions, the concomitant use of IBU and SSRI (citalopram) was observed. In five prescriptions, the concomitant use of IBU and lithium was observed. There were no records of litemia in two of these cases. No patient was using beta blockers and two were using angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE inhibitors).

**DISCUSSION**

**Indication**

However, NSAIDs, according to Helin-Samilvaara et al.14 are more frequently used by elderly individuals and women. Fillingim e Gear15 suggest that men have a higher level of endogenous analgesic system activity compared to women.

IBU has relatively slow absorption, which may delay the onset of its analgesic effects. Despite this, it has analgesic efficacy and a profile benefit-risk that is more favorable when compared with other NSAIDs for use as an analgesic.16

The Food and Drug Administration6 indicate the use of ibuprofen for relief of temporary headache, muscular, lumbar, toothache, menstrual pain, for arthritis, primary dysmenorrhea and temporary use as antipyretic. The Australian Department of Health (2013)16 adds the indication of its use for neuralgia, sore throat, colds and flu.

In our study, IBU was most prescribed as an analgesic (Table 2). Thus, the indication did not vary from what the literature guides recommend12,16. However, in six cases the indication was not reported.

It is important to determine if the pain is related only to physical or psychic conditions. Pain is subjective and its perception depends on the psychological state of the individual 17. In this context, anxiety and depression can raise the perception of pain. Nevertheless, in the present study the reason for the pain was attributed only to physical conditions and in no case was it related to the psychic condition of the individuals, nor was it described as potentiated by this psychic condition.

Most of the prescribers were physicians, however, dentists also prescribed it for odontalgia and exodontia (Table 3). We have seen that dentists prescribed IBU after dental procedures. Al-Khateeb et al.18 showed that patients experienced pain after a simple tooth extraction. They then recommend that dentists consider prescribing analgesics during the first week after tooth extraction.

**Dose**

The FDA (2015) suggests that although IBU is the safest among NSAIDs, there appears to be a dose-related risk for gastrointestinal and renal adverse events. However, at doses up to 1200mg per day, these risks appear to be lower although it is common to find doses up to 3200mg per day6.
IBU has a maximum recommended dose of 1200mg per day and when used in high doses (2400mg or more per day), it increases the risk of heart attack and stroke.

The EMA reinforces these warnings, including the recommendation that doses of 2400mg per day should not be used in patients with a history of heart disease (ischemic heart disease, congestive heart failure) and stroke, or who exhibit risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Risk factors include, but are not limited to, smoking, diabetes, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and a family history of cardiovascular disease. The orientations from Health Canada, FDA and EMA were considered and also established a safe dose of 1200mg per day.

Most cases this dose was less than or equal to 1200mg per day. However, in no case was the drug used to reduce the pain caused by osteoarthritis or rheumatoid arthritis, which could in some way justify a dose greater than 1200mg. Regarding the evaluation of heart disease history, in only one case it was reported, and in some cases, the risk factors were described.

The wide use of ibuprofen (22% of the total NSAID use) is usually because it is considered a very safe drug. It has been shown that IBU increases the risk of cardiac arrest by 31%. The risk is high for those who already have some cardiovascular diseases, such as hypertension.

Safety

The European Medicines Agency and the Health Canada report that there is an increased risk of cardiovascular events when IBU is used for a period longer than seven days and recommend use for a short period (less than 7 days). The period defined in this study and based on the literature, as a rationale for the use of this medicine was seven days. In 10 cases, among the 43 evaluated, the duration of treatment was higher than recommended.

When prescribing NSAIDs, prescribers should take precautions based on the patient’s risk. Severe complications in the gastrointestinal tract occur in 1% to 4% of NSAID users annually. It involves the inhibition of COX 1 and consequently, the lack of prostaglandin’s gastroprotection. Symptomatic or complicated ulcers (with hemorrhage, perforation or obstruction) are the most serious gastrointestinal side effects.

Laine classified as high-risk individuals to develop gastrointestinal problems those with a history of ulcer or gastrointestinal events, advanced age (> 65 years), anticoagulation therapy, corticosteroid therapy, and ASA therapy, as well as treatment with NSAIDs for pathologies such as rheumatoid arthritis. The same author points out that, in these patients, both the use of selective NSAIDs and co-therapy with a PPI should be considered.

Wilcox et al. argues that, among non-selective NSAIDs, data indicates that gastrointestinal safety may be greater with IBU at a dose of 1200mg per day or less, however for patients classified as high-risk individuals, the indications correspond to those described by Laine.

Dubois et al. reviewed the use of NSAIDs, including those that specifically inhibit COX-2, in addition to the use of PPI co-therapy in patients requiring chronic anti-inflammatory therapy (> 1 month). For patients without previous gastrointestinal events (gastrointestinal ulcers, bleeding, perforation or obstruction) and the use of ASA, warfarin or corticosteroid (classified as low risk), the use of non-selective NSAIDs was only scored as indicated for those with age less than 65 years (Figure 1).

For patients over 65 years of age and with low risk, the choice between the use of non – selective NSAIDs or specific COX-2 selective inhibitors was reported as uncertain. For patients with previous gastrointestinal events or concomitantly using ASA, warfarin or corticosteroids, the use of a COX-2 selective inhibitor or non-selective NSAIDs with PPIs has been reported as indicated. Finally, for patients with previous gastrointestinal events and in use of ASA, warfarin or corticosteroids, a PPI should be used concomitantly with non-selective NSAIDs or a selective COX-2 inhibitor (figure 1).

When NSAIDs are combined with anticoagulants, there is a significant increase in bleeding, which can increase International Normalized Ratio (INR) by as much as 15 percent. Therefore, when it is necessary to initiate NSAIDs therapy in individuals using anticoagulants, there should be adequate monitoring of the INR, as well as the dose adjustments of warfarin. In the present study, no patient was using IBU for more than one month, none were older than 65 years, and none were using warfarin, corticosteroids or ASA. In only one of the medical records, the absence of a gastrointestinal event was reported, in all the other cases this was not reported.

When the patient presents dyspepsia, abdominal pain, gastrointestinal discomfort, the use of PPIs or histamine H2 receptor blockers is indicated as therapeutic or preventive measures. In the cases dyspepsia reported, with 2 of PPI use and 1 case of histamine H2 receptor antagonist.

COX-2 participates in the production of renal prostaglandin and all NSAIDs can cause renal failure. Some medications, such as beta-blockers and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors may increase renal complications when used concomitantly with NSAIDs. Specialists propose the monitoring of renal function after the initiation of NSAIDs in individuals using these drugs. There was no patient using beta-blockers, but two were using angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors. However, it is important to infer that renal function was evaluated neither before nor during the therapy of these patients.

A meta-analysis, conducted in 2008 with observational studies, showed a significant increase in the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding after the use of SSRIs and NSAIDs concomitantly.

The hypothesis for the mechanism of drug interaction is that serotonin is important for the maintenance of platelet hemostatic function such as platelet aggregation. SSRIs inhibit their uptake by platelets, impairing platelet...
aggregation and thus increasing the risk of gastrointestinal bleeding. It is important to note that no guideline has been found to warn against the concomitant use of these drugs. Regulatory agencies need to review existing documents and highlight the magnitude and strength of the risks for this interaction. The symptoms may present as hematemesis or melena.

Suspension of NSAIDs, concomitant treatment with PPI or switching to another antidepressant should be considered in patients receiving SSRIs and NSAIDs simultaneously.

Concomitant use of IBU and SSRIs (citalopram) was observed in two prescriptions. In these two cases, no hematemesis or melena occurred. It was not described by the multi-professional team if the i) suspension of IBU ii) beginning of a PPI or iii) changing to another antidepressant was considered.

IBU interacts with lithium, which is the first line of treatment for bipolar mood disorders, decreasing its elimination and raising blood levels in individuals with normal renal function, and therefore, the risk of toxicity. Hassan et al. reported a case in which one man, 26 years old, diagnosed with bipolar mood disorder and schizophrenia using lithium, presented confusion, dysarthria, abnormal gait, and diarrhea two days after the dental prescription of IBU due to dental extraction with dosage of 800mg, three times a day. In the emergency department, laboratory values were significantly elevated to the lithium level (3mmol/L), with moderate renal insufficiency (serum creatinine of 1.6mg/dL) secondary to dehydration.

In a publication of the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Service, pharmacists in public services when conducting a lithium-based patient support program planned to advise an individual on lithium interactions with NSAIDs. A patient on a prescription of lithium and IBU was immediately included in the counseling program.

Clinicians should consider the use of NSAIDs in the differential diagnosis of lithium toxicity, monitor serum lithium concentrations of patients during initiation or discontinuation of therapy with Cyclooxygenase Inhibitors.

Among the prescriptions in which the concomitant use of lithium was observed, in two there were no records of lithemia. In no case was there reporting of toxicity with this use, thus, there was no differential diagnosis for drug interaction.

**Ibuprofen and CNS**

Results from our group and others demonstrate that IBU acts via a mechanism independent of COX-1 and COX-2 blockade to induce antipyresis. This mechanism is dependent on the activation of vasopressin V1 receptors in the CNS, by increasing vasopressin levels in the ventral septal region. In addition to being a natural antipyretic, this neurotransmitter plays an important role in the CNS, regulating sexual behavior, aggression, fear, stress, as well as learning and memory processes.

Elevated levels of vasopressin are related to elevated sexual activity, as well as to a deregulation of behavior, leading to aggression, by inhibiting the synthesis of serotonin. Its performance also interferes with learning, memory, and cognition.

Therefore, it is possible to speculate that, by acting on neurotransmitters regulation such as vasopressin, and therefore on brain activity, IBU may be directly related to changes in mental state.

**CONCLUSION**

This study is the first to increase the risks of using ibuprofen in psychiatric patients and may serve as a warning that, in these cases, ibuprofen-associated therapy may be a risk if used without caution. In the studied population, most of the time, the UBI was being used according to the indications described in the literature. However, regarding safety and dose, the prescription differed from the scientific literature. Although it is considered a safe drug, prescribers must be aware of the risks. The involvement of a clinical pharmacist in a service team can increase the quality of the service provided.
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Impact on practice

The study might contribute to further studies in order to create new policies on the rational use of ibuprofen.
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